

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/962,560	10/31/1997	DOUGLAS J HILTON	10976	9012
7590 12/05/2003			EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			CARLSON, KAREN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	08/962,560	HILTON ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.</u> . (3)						
(2) <u>Dr. Zhu of Scully et al.</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>01 December 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Karen Cochrane Carlon Pro						
KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required				

Application No. 08/962,560

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Zhu called 11/4/03 regarding the advisory action and in particular the new matter by omission. KCC agreed to discuss this with BPS G. Elliott. Upon review of the case today,GE said that since the EST sequences were not claimed, the elimination of reference to them did not appear to provide a basis for new matter by omission. Thus, KCC returned Zhu's call to tell her that the issue of new matter has been resolved and a supplemental advisory action would be issued. Zhu noted that an RCE will be filed on Wed. in this application and that a supplemental advisory action was not necessary and requested only an interview summary.